

TAS 24-27B / D214986
Replacement of Town Line Road Bridge
over NYS Thruway (I-90) at Milepost 280.31
in Onondaga County

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

Q1. In the project mentioned above is it using PEM for the concrete?

A1. Yes, Performance Enhanced Materials (PEM) for various concrete items are to be used in this project.

August 12, 2024

Q2. Regarding the pavement marking items on TAS 24-27B, it is believed that references to Item 635.04040225 are incorrect. There is no contrast tape on the project. Item 635.04040225 is recessed grind for contrast tape. If it is supposed to be Item 635.04030225, recessed grind for triple drop epoxy stripes, instead, regular epoxy stripes are shown, but there are no items for triple drop epoxy on the items list. Please advise.

A2. These inconsistencies with references to Item 635.04040225 in the project proposal, and Item 635.04030225 in the project plans will be addressed in forthcoming Amendment #2. Recessed diamond grinding will not be required on this project.

August 14, 2024

Q3. Regarding Plan Drawing ST-33, will the Thruway allow a L3 1/2" x 3 1/2" x 1/2" in lieu of the L3 1/2" x 3 1/2" x 7/16"? In order to get the 7/16" thick angle, we would have to order 42,000 lbs. worth of it.

A3. The Thruway Authority will allow the use of the 1/2" angle at no additional cost to the the Thruway. No extra weight payment shall be made for this item.

August 16, 2024

Q4. Regarding Drawing Number ST-6 "Superstructure Notes #2", and Drawing Number ST-35 "Notes #12", will the Thruway allow the diaphragms to be galvanized in lieu of metalized. In this scenario it would be much more cost effective to galvanize them. Not to mention the end diaphragms will need painted as well if they are to remain metalized, since they are embedded in concrete.

A4. The Thruway will allow diaphragms and any ancillary parts to be galvanized in lieu of metalizing at no additional cost to the Thruway.